EXHIBIT SS

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
3	C.A. No. 1:19-CV-10203-IT
4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
5	SONYA LARSON,
6	Plaintiff,
7	VS.
8	
9	DAWN DORLAND PERRY, et al.,
10	Defendants.
11	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
12	VOLUME II
13	DEPOSITION OF: SONYA C. LARSON
14	Conducted Remotely
15	11 Oxford Street
16	Malden, Massachusetts
17	Tuesday, September 28, 2021 11:11 a.m.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

- Q. Okay. And in your second amended complaint, you allege that Dawn Dorland defamed you, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So please tell me each instance in which you believe that Dawn Dorland defamed you.

 MR. EPSTEIN: Objection.
 - A. I think that that is a difficult task.
- Q. I am sure that it is, actually, but I still need you to answer the question.
- A. Well, I believe that she called me a plagiarist to many people and organizations in my life.
- Q. Okay. And so what is the first instance that you're aware of that you're referring to in your answer?
 - A. Could you clarify what you mean by "first."
- Q. As far as you are aware of the allegation that you are bringing in this case concerning Dawn Dorland making defamatory statements, what is the first defamatory statement that you are aware of?
- A. I don't believe you've answered my question. What do you mean by first?
 - Q. What's the first statement that you believe

	221
1	was made?
2	A. You mean chronologically?
3	Q. Sure. Let's go that way.
4	A. I have to try to recall.
5	Q. So just for for your purposes while
6	you're trying to recall, the chronological order
7	just for purposes of organizing your answer. If you
8	mix up what was first and what was second, that's
9	not, like, going to be an issue. So let's just go
<u>10</u>	with what you remember as the first.
11	A. I believe that the first communication that
<u>12</u>	she had with another person in which she said that I
13	committed plagiarism and/or that I was a plagiarist
<u>14</u>	was to the literary agent, Samantha Shea.
<u>15</u>	Q. And what precisely was the defamatory
16	statement that you believe Ms. Dorland made to
<u>17</u>	Samantha Shea?
18	MR. EPSTEIN: Objection.
1 9	A. I don't have that verbatim in my memory.
20	Q. So, as you sit here today, you do not know
21	this aspect of your own claim?
22	MR. EPSTEIN: Objection.
23	A. No. That's not what I'm saying.
24	Q. So why don't you tell me what you can.

	222
1	What was the statement made to Samantha Shea upon
2	which you base your allegation and claim for
3	defamation?
4	A. Are you asking for the exact statement?
5	Q. No. I am asking for your view and your
6	current knowledge on the basis of your claim that a
7	defamatory statement was made to Samantha Shea.
8	A. I'm afraid I'm going to have to ask you to
9	clarify the question.
<u>10</u>	Q. Okay. You testified that Dawn Dorland made
11	a statement to Samantha Shea that you view as
12	defamatory, correct?
13	MR. EPSTEIN: Objection.
<u>14</u>	A. I I mean, yes.
15	Q. Okay. And I'm asking you what that
<u>16</u>	statement was.
<u>17</u>	A. But, again, I'm asking, do you want me to
18	tell you that exact statement?
<mark>19</mark>	Q. Are you able to tell me that exact
20	statement?
21	A. From memory, no.
22	Q. Okay. So why don't you answer on the
23	record what you do remember.
24	A. I do remember that she contacted the

	223
1	literary agent, Samantha Shea, to say that I had
2	committed plagiarism
3	Q. When did
4	A and that she later, I believe, followed
5	up with Samantha Shea to tell her more specifically
6	about me specifically.
7	Q. And when did you first find out about that
8	communication?
9	A. With Samantha Shea, I believe it was after
<u>10</u>	a production of Dorland's documents in discovery.
<u>11</u>	Q. So you were not aware of Ms. Dorland's
<u>12</u>	communications with Samantha Shea at the time that
13	you filed your complaint, is that correct?
<u>14</u>	A. Yes.
15	Q. And what is the next statement that you are
16	alleging to be defamatory in this matter?
17	A. By next what do you mean?
18	Q. So we seem to be going at these
19	chronologically at your option; so the next
20	chronological statement that was made that you're
21	alleging to be defamatory.
22	A. Chronologically, I don't I don't know in
23	my exact memory the order in which Dorland contacted
24	people regarding myself, but all around the same

	224
1	time one of those included American Short Fiction.
2	Q. Okay. And what is the defamatory statement
3	or statements that you are claiming that Dawn
4	Dorland made to American Short Fiction?
5	A. Again, I'm going to need you to clarify.
6	I I do not understand whether you are seeking me
7	to tell you precisely the statement that she gave
8	or or what exactly.
9	Q. Well, I'm asking you for as precise of
<u>10</u>	information you have. I mean, this is a deposition.
11	Any answer that you have you can give. If it's not
12	precise, then give me your summary or what you do
13	know as you sit here today.
<u>14</u>	A. I believe that she contacted American Short
<u>15</u>	Fiction to say that my short story, "The Kindest,"
<u>16</u>	had plagiarized her.
<u>17</u>	Q. And was that on one occasion?
<u>18</u>	A. I don't remember. I believe it was more
<u>19</u>	than one, and that she made phone calls to them as
20	well.
21	Q. And what was said in the phone calls that
22	you are aware of that forms the basis of your
23	defamation claim in this matter?
24	A. That she continued to say that my short

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

225 1 story, "The Kindest," had plagiarized her. 2 Q. And how did you learn of those phone 3 calls -- or, actually, strike that. Were you a party to those phone calls? 4 5 Α. No. Okay. So how did you learn of those phone 6 0. 7 calls? A. The editors of American Short Fiction told 8 9 me about them. 10 Q. And, according to your testimony, the defamation that was conveyed to American Short 11 Fiction was that you plagiarized Dawn Dorland, is 12 13 that correct? 14 A. That's my understanding. So was there anything else to that 15 0. 16 statement that you're aware of that -- that forms 17 the basis of your defamation claim? 18 I don't understand the question. Could 19 you --So all you've said is that she said that 20 0. 21 you plagiarized her. Is there anything else to that 22 statement that you recall as you sit here today that you are alleging as defamatory? 23 Objection. 24 MR. EPSTEIN:

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

235 that you're alleging to be defamatory by Dawn 1 2 Dorland. Can you please tell me what statement after the VSC contact you're claiming as part of 3 your complaint in this matter concerning defamation. 4 Objection. 5 MR. EPSTEIN: 6 She contacted the association of literary 7 scholars, critics and writers. I may not have that exact term correct, but it was another literary 8 9 organization that had funded a fellowship that I had 10 received. Q. And do you know when that contact took 11 12 place? 13 A. I believe around the same time that she had 14 contacted these other organizations. Q. And what defamatory statement do you allege **15** was conveyed in that contact? 16 17 A. I don't know what precise statements were made, but I believe from Dorland's own responses to 18 the interrogatories, they were regarding a claim of 19 20 plagiarism against me. 21 Q. Okay. And what was the next statement that you allege was made by Dawn Dorland that you're 22 23 claiming as defamation? A. She also contacted The Boston Globe to make 24

[236
1	a claim of plagiarism against me.
2	Q. And The Boston Globe ultimately published
3	two articles concerning your story, "The Kindest,"
4	correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Were there any defamatory statements, as
7	you understood them, in those articles?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. What were they?
10	A. That my short story, "The Kindest," had
<u>11</u>	plagiarized Dawn Dorland.
12	Q. Other than what was printed in The Globe,
13	are you claiming any other statements that
<u>14</u>	Ms. Dorland may have made to The Globe as allegedly
1 5	defamation?
16	MR. EPSTEIN: Objection.
<u>17</u>	A. I'm not sure. She said a lot to The Globe.
<u>18</u>	Q. Other than what's in the articles, what
<u>19</u>	knowledge do you have concerning what Dawn Dorland
20	said to The Globe?
21	MR. EPSTEIN: Objection.
22	A. I have all of the emails that she sent to
23	them. She yeah. I have all the emails she sent
24	to them.

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

238 1 memory is that she repeatedly told them that I had committed plagiarism against her. 2 Q. Okay. And after The Globe, what was the 3 next statement that you're claiming to be 4 5 defamatory? A. She also contacted my employer, Grub 6 7 Street, and made the claim that my short story, "The Kindest" had committed plagiarism against her. 8 9 Q. Were there any other statements made to 10 Grub Street, other than a claim of plagiarism that you are claiming as defamatory? 11 12 MR. EPSTEIN: Objection. I mean, I'm not sure. She made statements 13 14 about me that were false, but I -- but I don't have those in mind regarding this legal action. **15** Does that mean that you're not including 16 17 those in your claim? Objection. 18 MR. EPSTEIN: I don't know what you mean exactly. 19 Α. I'm asking you what defamatory statements 20 Q. 21 that you believe were made by Dawn Dorland that form the basis of your claim in this litigation? 22 23 I understand. Α. Yeah. And you then testified that you 24 Q.

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

279 Ms. Dorland made defamatory statements? 1 2 Α. Yes. And what entities are those? 3 Q. 4 A. The Bread Loaf Writers' conference is one. 5 Q. Okay. And what false statements do you 6 allege that Ms. Dorland made to the Bread Loaf 7 Writers' conference? A. She suggested that I had applied for and 8 9 received a fellowship to the Bread -- Bread Loaf Writers' conference using plagiarized material. 10 When you say, "she suggested," what do you 11 Q. 12 mean by that? 13 I mean that she spoke -- that she said that 14 there was plagiarism in the short story, "The Kindest," and that the author of that short story 15 16 had received -- applied for and received a fellowship to the Bread Loaf Writers' conference and 17 that she continually emailed and called Bread Loaf 18 19 to reassert her claim. And how did you find out about these 20 21 communications? I learned about them when Jennifer Groats 22 23 contacted me. Q. And when did she contact you? 24

	281
1	A. Emails that Ms. Dorland had sent various
2	staff members of Bread Loaf.
3	Q. And in those emails, did Ms. Dorland
4	reference you by name?
5	A. I don't remember, but she did reference my
6	short story by name. I do know that.
7	Q. Are there any other entities with which you
8	claim that Ms. Dorland communicated any false
9	statements concerning you?
<u>10</u>	A. Yes.
11	Q. And what entity? What is one of them?
12	A. The reporter, Kat Rosenfeld.
13	Q. And who is Kat Rosenfeld?
<u>14</u>	A. I don't know, aside from the fact that she
<u>15</u>	is a writer and a reporter.
<u>16</u>	Q. And what statements do you allege were made
<u>17</u>	by Ms. Dorland to Kat Rosenfeld?
<u>18</u>	A. I don't have them in front of me; so if
<u>19</u>	you're looking for the exact statement, I don't have
20	that photographic
21	Q. I didn't
22	A. I know, but I'm telling you the answer to
23	your question. But I know that she was, once again,
24	claiming that my short story had plagiarized her,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

282

- and in my memory, she included a link to one of the
 Boston Globe articles which, of course, names me and
 names my story, and she was urging Ms. Rosenfeld to
 write about this.
 - Q. And when did --

MR. EPSTEIN: Suzanne, before you go on, my -- my computer froze for a second.

MS. ELOVECKY: Okay.

MR. EPSTEIN: The last -- the last I heard was Kat Rosenfeld and she was a writer and reporter. That's the last thing I heard.

Perhaps, Valerie if you could read from the record, I'll have the benefit of what I missed. I'm sorry to interrupt. I just --

MS. ELOVECKY: No. That's fine. We did didn't notice that you had frozen.

MR. EPSTEIN: Yeah. I did.

MS. ELOVECKY: Sorry.

MR. EPSTEIN: That's all right.

THE REPORTER: "Answer: I know, but I'm telling you the answer to your question. But I know that she was, once again, claiming that my short story had plagiarized her, and in my memory, she included a link to one of the Boston Globe articles

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

286

starts, as you see, at the top of the page with an email to Christopher -- I mean -- I'm sorry -- from Christopher Castellani. Do you agree with me that's what we're looking at at the top of the page?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And the second email which is the one I'd like you to focus on is an email from eve@grubstreet.org, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And that's Eve Bridberg, correct?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. From this production, we don't see whether anyone else is on this email chain, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Were you on this email chain?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. You see that in the email from Eve Bridberg she stated as the second sentence, "Do you have a sense of whether she'll own up to her own laziness?" Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Prior to seeing this email today, had you seen this email previously?
 - A. No.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

- Q. Had Eve Bridberg ever shared with you that she held a view that you had acted with laziness?
 - A. No.
- Q. She -- then the next question -- I was going to call it a sentence, but it is a question -- is (as read) "Or to over, dash, borrowing in early drafts?" Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Had Ms. Bridberg ever spoken to you concerning any view that she may have held that you, quote, over-borrowed, in early drafts?
 - A. I don't remember.
- Q. And that's not something that would stick out in your memory?
- A. I mean, that's a hypothetical question. I don't know how to answer it.
- Q. Has anyone ever told you that you over-borrowed from Dawn Dorland's letter?
 - A. Do you mean did they use that phrase?
 - Q. First, yes.
 - A. Not to my memory.
- Q. Has anyone ever used any other phrase suggesting that you improperly utilized Dawn Dorland's letter on being broad on her --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

- Q. But, specifically, the letter within your story, is it your testimony that you don't know when or why you made changes to that letter?
- A. Are you speaking about a specific time frame?
- Q. The one we're talking about here in between your note from your friend and the publication with American Short Fiction.
- A. I absolutely made changes to my story. I know that because the editors of American Short Fiction and I corresponded about many changes that they wanted me to make and that I wanted to make to my short story but --
 - Q. My question was --
- A. I'm answering your question. But I can't tell you from memory right now whether those many revisions that we went over included revisions to the letter portion of my short story.
- Q. Okay. So I'm just going to summarize the list again just to help make sure that we're on the same page and that we get a complete answer. Okay?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. So thus far in response to my question as to which people or entities Ms. Dorland made what

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

- you allege to be defamatory statements, you have responded, Samantha Shea, American Short Fiction -- there's more -- Grub Street, Bread Loaf, Kat Rosenfeld, and the literary scholar entity, Vermont Studio Center, and Boston Globe, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are there any other individuals or entities that you claim Ms. Dorland made a statement that was false?
- MR. EPSTEIN: I just want to object. I'm not sure your list is fully complete, but I think the record will reflect the completeness.
- A. Yes. So this is difficult for me because you're asking me to do this entirely from memory; so I want to state that on the record. But, again, from memory, I believe that she also contacted someone named Samantha Hunt.
- Q. And what was the statement made to Ms. Hunt that you claim to be defamatory?
- A. Again, this would be so much easier if you could present these documents in front of all of us here to be able to look at them ourselves, but again, if you're asking me entirely from memory, I believe that she contacted Samantha Hunt with her

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

333

(Document marked as Exhibit 24 for identification)

BY MS. ELOVECKY:

- Q. You should have Exhibit 24 on your screen.
- A. Yup.
- Q. And this is the article titled, (as read) "Inspiration or Plagiarism, question mark. Writing hackles raised in Boston dispute," and it's dated July 26, 2018, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, if you turn to Page 8 of Exhibit 23, it's where the comments begin. I endeavored to expand all of the comments, although some of them break across a page in a way I could not avoid. I would just like you to review for me these comments and identify for me anything that you see as reflecting harm that you've suffered to your reputation.
- A. I just want to say it's a little hard for me to do this because there are comments here that have replies that are not expanded. There are also comments that have been blocked, it appears, that were at one point not blocked.
 - Q. Can you show me an example of where the

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

338

of comments on this article, you've identified two comments, plus one word from a third comment which you see as potentially harmful to your reputation, correct?

MR. EPSTEIN: Objection.

Q. I'll restate that because I did -- let me -- let me just restate that.

You view two comments, plus one word from one third comment that you view as depicting damage to your reputation?

MR. EPSTEIN: Objection.

- A. I would say they are reflective of damage to my reputation.
- Q. Other than the comments to -- well, let me show you the second article first.

(Document marked as Exhibit 26 for identification)

BY MS. ELOVECKY:

Q. Okay. I'm now showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 26. This is a Boston Globe article dated article August 13th, 2018, and the title is "Boston Book Festival cancels One City One Story event amid plagiarism flap." Did I read that correctly?

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And if you turn to Page 4, at the bottom of the page, do you see first that the article reflects that there are only two comments to this article, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And do either of these two comments which bridge Pages 4 and 5 of this exhibit reflect any expression from the commenters that you view as demonstrating any harm to your reputation?
- A. I'm not sure. I'd have to speak with my attorney.
- Q. Well, I'm asking you about your view. I'm not asking you about your attorney's view. I'm not asking about a legal -- I'm not -- there's no legal question. I'm asking you what you view these comments as.
- A. Sure. These are comments that reflect what I presume are an untolled number of readers of this article who came away with an impression of me as a plagiarist, and as we know, this article is the direct result of Ms. Dorland contacting The Boston Globe in an effort to get this article published.
 - Q. Other than -- strike that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

350

- A. Oh, okay. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. And did you review correspondence between yourself and Sarah Green?
- A. I don't remember. If there was correspondence, then, yes.
- Q. You have claims against Dawn Dorland for intentional interference with advantageous relationships, don't you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And what is your understanding as to what interference Dawn Dorland had with American Short Fiction?

MR. EPSTEIN: Objection.

- A. I'm not a legal scholar, but my understanding of that concept is that -- actually, could you rephrase the question. I'm trying to remember exactly what it was.
- Q. What interference did Dawn Dorland enact between yourself and American Short Fiction?

 MR. EPSTEIN: Objection.
- A. Again, I'm not a legal scholar, but my layperson's understanding of that concept applies as such, which is that Ms. Dorland raised the specter of legal action and litigation against American

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

360

from the ASF website, was there any other result which you see as flowing from Dawn Dorland's communications with ASF?

MR. EPSTEIN: Objection.

- A. I'm sorry. I'm going to have to have you repeat the question one more time. Any more result, is that the -- the words you used?
- Q. So it's your -- it's your opinion that because of Dawn Dorland's statements to ASF, they removed the story from the website, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Was there any other effect -- let's use that word -- of Dawn Dorland's statements to ASF that you're aware of?
 - A. As it relates to ASF?
- Q. Yes, as it relates to your claim for intentional interference with your relationship with ASF.
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. So how about the BBF; you claim that Dawn Dorland interfered with your advantageous relationship with the BBF, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And how did she do so?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

387

MR. EPSTEIN: Objection.

A. No. I wouldn't say they sought my acquiescence.

(Document marked as Exhibit 30 for identification)

BY MS. ELOVECKY:

- Q. So I'm showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 30. It's an email from you to Rebecca -- Rebecca Markovits dated April 25th, 2018. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And about halfway down the page there's an entry in the chain that's dated June 24th, 2018, at 5:48 p.m. where you state, (as read) "Hello again, Rebecca, I hope you're having a great weekend. Go ahead and sunset my piece, dash, you can remove it now, if you'd like." Did I read that sentence correctly?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So you did email ASF and told them that you -- well, you told them exactly what you wrote, which is, "Go ahead and sunset my piece you can remove it now, if you'd like," correct?
 - A. I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

- Q. So, in this email chain in the third entry, Christopher Castellani states, "The only way this Chunks cliffhanger works is if DD is the victim...."

 I'm pausing there. DD stands for Dawn Dorland, correct?
 - A. I believe so.
- Q. And then he goes on to say, (as read)
 "...tumbling down the stairs Big Little Lies style,
 period." Did I read that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you're stating that you're not aware that, when the character in Big Little Lies was pushed down the stairs, that he died?
- MR. EPSTEIN: You didn't ask that question. Object.
- A. I've never seen Big Little Lies. I -- I don't know who's in it. I don't know what happens in it. I don't know.
- Q. Was it at all concerning to you that your friends were joking about pushing Dawn Dorland down the stairs Big Little Lies style?
 - MR. EPSTEIN: Objection.
 - A. This line of questioning is very strange.

 Are you familiar with the nature of what it

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

400

quite is responding to your question the way that you're seeking it from me, but yes.

(Document marked as Exhibit 32 for identification)

BY MS. ELOVECKY:

Q. But you didn't say -- strike that. It's fine. We'll leave the dodge on this record.

So I have now shown you what's been marked as Exhibit 32. This is an email chain between you and your writing group, and the top email in the chain is dated July 28th of 2018. Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And if you turn to Page 3.
- A. Yes.
- Q. In approximately in the middle of the page, Whitney Scharer states, "I will never be able to unsee this photo of DFD." Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What does DFD refer to?
- A. I believe it refers to Dawn fucking Dorland.
 - Q. And your group used that acronym for Ms. Dorland pretty consistently throughout the

Sonya C. Larson September 28, 2021

452 1 COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS) 2 SUFFOLK, SS. I, Valerie Rae Johnston, Shorthand Reporter and 4 Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that there came 5 before me on the 28th day of September 2021, at 6 11:11 a.m., the person hereinbefore named, who was 7 by me duly sworn to testify to the truth and nothing 8 9 but the truth of her knowledge touching and concerning the matters in controversy in the cause; 10 that she was thereupon examined upon her oath, and 11 12 her examination reduced to typewriting under my direction; and that the deposition is a true record 13 14 of the testimony given by the witness. I further certify that I am neither attorney or 15 counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any 16 17 attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action. 18 In witness whereof, I have hereunto set My hand 19 and affixed my nota ial seal 20 dav 21 October 2021. 22 Notary Public 23 My commission expires: 24 8/5/22